

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 398 502

CG 027 270

AUTHOR Tenton, Stuart C.; Storm, Heidi A.
TITLE Suicide Postvention Model for Public Schools:
Expanding the Role of School Psychology.
PUB DATE [90]
NOTE 9p.
PUB TYPE Reports - Descriptive (141)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS Adolescents; Bereavement; *Crisis Intervention;
Elementary Secondary Education; *Public Education;
School Counseling; *School Psychologists; *Suicide
IDENTIFIERS *Postvention

ABSTRACT

The adolescent suicide rate in the United States has tripled in the last 25 years. While suicides cause tremendous familial complications, this act also causes significant upheaval in the public school environment. The purpose of this paper is to offer an updated theoretical rationale as to why children and early adolescents are choosing suicide as their only option. A model for suicide postvention is also presented in which the school psychologist would play a prominent role in assessing student needs and assisting students in coping with the aftermath of suicide. It is hypothesized that baby boomer parents, in an effort to correct dysfunctional behaviors from their families of origin, have done two things that could lead to the increased acquiescent suicides: (1) they cushioned or protected their child from failing at anything; and (2) they led the child to believe that he or she was "special," which increased the gap between reality and expectations when failure inevitably occurs. The goals of postvention are to help students, faculty, and staff with the grieving process and to prevent further suicides. It is recommended that someone from the crisis team, preferably the school psychologist, be assigned the responsibility to attend the class schedule of the decedent. (JBJ)

* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made *
* from the original document. *

Running head: SUICIDE POSTVENTION

SUICIDE POSTVENTION MODEL FOR PUBLIC SCHOOLS: EXPANDING THE ROLE OF SCHOOL PSYCHOLOGY

Stuart C. Tentoni, Ph.D.
Norris Health Center
University of Wisconsin—Milwaukee
Milwaukee, Wisconsin

Heidi A. Storm, M.S.
Psychology Department
University of Wisconsin—Milwaukee
Milwaukee, Wisconsin

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND
DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL
HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

S. Tentoni

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

- This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

SUICIDE POSTVENTION MODEL FOR PUBLIC SCHOOLS: EXPANDING THE ROLE OF SCHOOL PSYCHOLOGY

The adolescent suicide rate in the United States has tripled in the last 25 years. It is estimated that over 400,000 youth attempt suicide each year. Of that number, 5,000 complete their attempts. Suicide accounts for about 12% of the mortality in the adolescent and young adult age population (Brent, 1989). It is estimated 15 adolescents will kill themselves each day. Suicide is now the second leading cause of death in young people. Between 1970-1980, 49,496 of our nation's young people who were 15-24 years of age committed suicide (Centers for Disease Control, 1985; Langone, 1981; Lee, 1978; Morgan, 1981; Ray & Johnson, 1983). Within this one decade, the suicide rate for this group increased 40% (from 8.8 deaths per 100,000 population in 1970 to 12.3 per 100,000 in 1980) while the rate for the remainder of the population remained stable. In Wisconsin, 1,100 suicide attempts were reported by the State Department of Public Instruction during 1985, with 23 attempts and 1 suicide in grades K through 5; 219 attempts and 6 suicides in grades 6 through 8; and 882 attempts and 42 suicides in grades 9 through 12.

These alarming statistics are still on the rise. In 1993, two brothers, ages 11 and 9, residing in rural northern Wisconsin shot themselves because they did not like school and did not want to go back. One week later, two males, ages 14 and 16, whose parents were close friends, elected to shoot themselves because their respective families were dissatisfied with the boys' grades. While these suicides caused tremendous familial complications, this act causes significant upheaval in the public school environment.

School personnel and classmates have to find a means by which they can understand the deaths and the aftermath caused by suicide (Siehl, 1990).

The purpose of this paper is to offer an updated theoretical rationale as to why children and early adolescents are choosing suicide as their only option. A model for suicide postvention will also be presented in which the school psychologist would play a prominent role in assessing student needs and assisting students in coping with the aftermath of suicide.

Why Adolescents Choose To Die: A New Theoretical Perspective

Teen suicide. To most of us, these two words are mutually exclusive. To parents, there is no thought worse than outliving their children, especially if that child is lost to suicide. To one's school aged peer group, a suicide is a situation that leaves as its legacy pain, guilt, sorrow, and anger. As an issue, suicide is tragic for all concerned.

The fundamental question becomes, "Why would someone on the brink of adult life want to stop living ?" The answer to this is quite complex and has to take into account psychiatric, personality, psychosocial, familial, and even biological factors (Blumenthal & Kupfer, 1988). Perhaps the hypothetical answer to this fundamental question may lie more in the familial realm, as the adolescents who are committing suicide have parents from the "baby-boomer" generation.

To explore the hypothetical answer, one must turn to the newest overused word in today's lexicon, which is "*dysfunctional*". Although most people from the "baby-boomer" generation would not like to admit it, many of them came from the proverbial "*dysfunctional*" family, but did not know it at the time. Mothers tended to not work outside the home, which allowed

them to have a more nurturing, supportive influence in raising the children. Fathers were hard-working, middle-class aspirants, somewhat authoritarian, and emotionally unaccessible. The "baby-boomer" generation's parents tended to stay married, even if it was unhappily.

It is theorized that the "dysfunctionality" of the family at that time did not go unnoticed by the "baby-boomer". When the "baby-boomer" finally learned their family-of-origin was "dysfunctional", they decided when it came time to have offspring, they would be more emotionally accessible to their children than their parents were to them. They also decided to be more interested in what happened in the lives of their children, almost to the point of intrusion. It seemed that their intent was to give their children the kind of life they, themselves, did not have. These parents offered their "expert" advice and opinion on matters and wanted their children to vicariously learn from the experiences of the parent. However, this approach may have led to more problems than it has solved (Blumenthal & Kupfer, 1988).

What the "baby-boomer" parents have done that could lead to some of the unfortunate increases in adolescent suicides is 1) they cushioned or protected their child from failing at anything whatsoever, no matter how natural; and 2) they led the child to believe that they are "special", which increases the gap between reality and expectations when failure inevitably occurs and is not only perceived, but felt by the child. The above points have been done under the rubric of being a "good parent ". Neuringer (1974) suggested that cognitively rigid individuals faced with naturally occurring life stresses are unable to generate alternative solutions to their problems, and as a result, they are inclined to develop ideas of helplessness

and hopelessness, which increases the risk of suicidal behaviors.

Expanding The School Psychologist's Role In Suicide Postventions

When a successful suicide occurs, it creates tremendous emotional stress in the family, neighborhood, and school. Deaths by suicide pose difficult challenges requiring complex responses in the school setting. Suicide creates "survivors", with groups of youngsters left behind to suffer through the shock and grief process (Carter & Brooks, 1990). There is an increased risk of suicidal activity associated with suicide survivors, which is most severe with immediate family and friends (McIntosh, 1987). The best way available to address this situation is through a school "*postvention*".

The best "*postvention*" activities are those organized in advance of any actual problems, just like other school procedures, like fire drills, tornadoes, and bomb threats (Siehl, 1990). The American Association of Suicidology (1990) developed guidelines regarding postventions, realizing that no model plan will fit every community, every school district, or every school within a district. The goals of a postvention are to help the students, faculty, and staff with the grieving process and to prevent further suicides. In order to determine how prepared schools in the Milwaukee area are for a situation such as this, four secondary schools were surveyed to compare and contrast their postvention plans.

Each school was consistent in stating that the crisis chairperson was the school principal, who would also handle any inquiries from the media. The chairperson was also responsible for implementing a crisis team, consisting of school counselors and possibly mental health workers from outside the district. The team would contact the family and siblings to provide

supportive services. The victim's closest friends would be identified for in-school supportive counseling. Faculty was to receive factual information about the suicide to present to their first-hour class. Each school offered "ample" time for student reactions and interactions, with "ample" undefined.

Based upon the review of school postvention responses, a modification is recommended that may be better suited for a school's reaction to a successful suicide. It is recommended that someone from the crisis team be assigned the responsibility to *attend the class schedule* of the decedent. The person recommended to do this is the school psychologist. Roughly 25% of schools assign anyone to attend the schedule, with that person typically being a guidance counselor. Although a guidance counselor may have an advantage in possibly knowing more of the students who had classes with the decedent, it is felt that the school psychologist would be better at making assessments of the verbal and non-verbal behavior exhibited by those in the classroom setting. This would allow all building guidance counselors to set up the crisis center and begin to offer services to those needing it.

References

American Association of Suicidology, School Suicide Prevention Programs Committee. (1990). *Postvention guidelines*. Denver, CO.: American Association of Suicidology.

Blumenthal, S.J., & Kupfer, D.J. (1988). Overview of early detection and treatment strategies for suicidal behavior in young people. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, 17, 1-21.

Brent, D.A. (1989). Suicide and suicidal behavior in children and adolescents. *Pediatrics in Review*, 10, 269-275.

Carter, B.F., & Brooks, A. (1990). Suicide postvention: Crisis or opportunity? *The School Counselor*, 37, 378-389.

Centers for Disease Control. (1985). *Suicide surveillance, 1970-1980*. Atlanta, GA: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service.

Langone, J. (1981). Too weary to go on. *Discover*, 27, 72-76.

Lee, E. (1978). Suicide and youth. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 57, 200-203.

McIntosh, J.L. (1987). Suicide as a mental health problem: Epidemiological aspects. In E.J. Dunne, J.L. McIntosh, & K. Dunne-Maxim (Eds.), *Suicide and its aftermath: Understanding and counseling the survivors* (p. 19-30). New York: Norton.

Morgan, L.B. (1981). The counselor's role in suicide prevention. *Personnel and Guidance Journal*, 59, 284-286.

Neuringer, C. (1974). *Psychological assessment of suicidal risk*. Springfield, IL: Thomas.

Ray, L., & Johnson, N. (1983). Adolescent suicide. Personnel and Guidance Journal, 62, 131-135.

Siehl, P.M. (1990). Suicide postvention: A new disaster plan—What a school should do when faced with a suicide. The School Counselor, 38, 52-57.



U.S. Department of Education
Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)

ERIC®

REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title: **SUICIDE POSTVENTION MODEL FOR PUBLIC SCHOOLS:
EXPANDING THE ROLE OF SCHOOL PSYCHOLOGY**

Author(s): **STUART C. TENTONI, Ph.D. & HEIDI A. STORM, M.S.**

Corporate Source:
UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MILWAUKEE

Publication Date:

-UNPUBLISHED-

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, *Resources in Education* (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic/optical media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS) or other ERIC vendors. Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following two options and sign at the bottom of the page.

The sample sticker shown below will be
affixed to all Level 1 documents



Check here

For Level 1 Release:
Permitting reproduction in
microfiche (4" x 6" film) or
other ERIC archival media
(e.g., electronic or optical)
and paper copy.

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND
DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL
HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Sample

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

The sample sticker shown below will be
affixed to all Level 2 documents



Check here

For Level 2 Release:
Permitting reproduction in
microfiche (4" x 6" film) or
other ERIC archival media
(e.g., electronic or optical),
but *not* in paper copy.

Level 1

Level 2

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but neither box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

"I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic/optical media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries."

**Sign
here→
please**

Signature:

Stuart C. Tentoni

Organization/Address:

UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MILWAUKEE
NORRIS HEALTH CTR.
P.O. BOX 413
MILWAUKEE, WISCONSIN 53201

Printed Name/Position/Title:

*STUART C. TENTONI, Ph.D.
SENIOR PSYCHOLOGIST/CLINICAL PROFESSOR*

Telephone:

(414) 229-4808

FAX:

(414) 229-6608

E-Mail Address:

stentoni@csd.uwm.edu

Date:

3 JULY 1996

III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributor:

Address:

Price:

IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:

If the right to grant reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and address:

Name:

Address:

V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:

ERIC/CASS
School of Education
Park 101, UNCG
Greensboro NC 27412

However, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or if making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, return this form (and the document being contributed) to:

ERIC Processing and Reference Facility
1301 Piccard Drive, Suite 100
Rockville, Maryland 20850-4305

Telephone: 301-258-5500
FAX: 301-948-3695
Toll Free: 800-799-3742
e-mail: ericfac@inet.ed.gov